

March 3, 2023

Bart Stepp, City Engineer City of Silverton 306 S. Water Street Silverton, OR 97381 Water Resources Department

Dam Safety Program 725 Summer St NE, Suite A Salem, OR 97301 (503) 986-0900 Fax (503) 986-0904

Re: Silver Creek Dam (S-66) – Inspection Summary

This dam was inspected on September 7, 2022. I performed the inspection with Civil Engineering Specialist Katie Larson. Mike Dahlberg and Jacob Rush were also on site. The Water Resources Department conducts routine inspections of the dams' exterior surfaces to identify conditions that might affect the safety of the dam. Dams are assigned a hazard rating based on downstream hazard to people and property, not on the condition of the dam. Silver Creek Dam is classified as a high hazard dam. High hazard dams are typically inspected every year.

Summary: Results of the inspection are summarized in the table below. Detail regarding the inspection can be found in the following photos and text. Where work is needed, additional information can also be found in the section below. Any aspects of the dam that did not present a dam safety concern are not discussed in this letter.

Category	Inspected	Result
Access	\boxtimes	Adequate
Reservoir	\boxtimes	Adequate
Spillway	\boxtimes	Adequate
Seepage/Leakage	\boxtimes	Adequate
Conduit	×	Adequate
Embankment	×	Adequate
Instrumentation/Monitoring	×	Excellent
Emergency Action Plan	×	Adequate

Details & Recommendations:

Reservoir:

The reservoir level was 402.8 feet at the time of the inspection. The minimum freeboard was 10 feet, which is excellent.

Spillway:

There were some large logs on the edge of the spillway discharge channel. Based on reports from staff onsite, these should wash downstream during the next heavy flow. Please monitor this area to ensure it does not become blocked.



Logs on edge of discharge channel

The joints in the spillway are large with some areas of scour. These areas should be monitored to ensure the scour does not increase.



Large joints with area of scour

Seepage:

The normal seepage for this dam was observed during the inspection. There have been no significant changes in this area during the past few years. Please continue to monitor this area for any changes in flow, coloration, or sediment discharge. Because of this additional seepage, it is important to keep the weirs and toe drains clean and functioning

properly. They were in good condition during the inspection with some minor sediment and vegetation noted.



Typical seepage observed at reinforced area



Upstream face of the embankment showing well-kept ground cover

Emergency Action Plan

Please plan on exercising your EAP between 2023 and 2024. We will be reaching out soon to help coordinate this, likely with another local dam.

Summary of Recommendations:

Please monitor the area of seepage for any changes and keep the weirs clear and functional. Also monitor the spillway cracks and scour for any changes after prolonged flows.

Please note that if any work is to be completed on the dam or surrounding areas which either directly or indirectly impacts the reservoir, downstream waterway quality, or fish passage, other state and federal agencies may have permit requirements or regulations for this work.

This dam is well maintained and operated and is in Fair condition. Please continue the good operation and maintenance of this dam. Also note that the condition rating does not reflect the seismic stability of this dam as an analysis has not been completed. As a result, an analysis will be needed in the near future.

We use a standard inspection form, and a copy of the field inspection sheet for this dam is attached. Thanks again for meeting with us. Please let me know if you have any questions about this inspection. We look forward to future inspections of this dam.

Sincerely,

Arden Babb, P.E.

Dam Safety Engineer

(971) 719-4012

C: Tony Janicek Ph.D., P.E., Dam Safety Program Coordinator

Greg Wacker, Watermaster District 16

Dam Safety File S -66



Oregon Dam Safety Inspection Form

Name of Dam: SILVE	Dam: SILVER CREEK File #: S-66					
Height: 65 ft.	Storage: 1,300	Storage: 1,300 ac. ft. Permit:		NID #: OR00622		
High Hazard Dam	Hazard Dam Condition Assessment: Fair District: 16					
Date: September 7, 20	022 Weather: ☑ Dr	y 🗆 Rain 🗆 Snov	w ☑ Now □ Recently	Prior 1	or Inspection: August 25, 202	
Inspector(s): Arden Babb Others on Site: Mike Dahlberg, Jacob Rush						
Issues from Prior Insp	ection:					
Rating Criteria: 5: Ex 2: Maintenance Actio			intenance; 3: Maintenar	ıce Acti	on Needed;	٠
General						Rating
Vehicle Access	☑ All Weather Road	□ Dirt Road □ N	Vone			4
Access Control	☑ Gate ☑ Locked an	d Secured 🗹 Fen	cing ☑ Signage ☐ None	□ Othe	er	4
Detail:						
		T_				
Reservoir	Pool Level : <u>420.8</u> ft.	Pool Level: 420.8 ft. ☐ Approximated ☑ Measured ☐ Other ☐ Crest ☐ Gage ☑ Other Electronic reservoir level		r level	Rating	
Minimum Freeboard	Vertical distance from		owest place on crest: 10			4
Condition	☑ No Issue ☐ Floatin	ng Debris/Trash [☐ Log Boom ☐ Unusual (Conditio	n □ Other	4
Detail:						*.
Name and the same						
Spillway	15					Rating
Spillway Structure	☐ Earth ☑ Concrete	e 🗆 Culvert 🗆 I	Rock 🏻 Trickle tube 🗖	Other		Rating
	☐ Earth ☑ Concrete ☑ Clear ☐ Trees/br			Other		Rating 4
Structure	☑ Clear ☐ Trees/bru	ısh 🗆 Debris 🗆		Other	5	
Structure Approach Channel	☑ Clear ☐ Trees/bru	ush Debris D	Erosion Other t Other Unstable	Other	5	4
Structure Approach Channel Control Section	☑ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☑ Concrete ☐ Rock	ush ☐ Debris ☐ ☐ Soil ☐ Culver ☐ Survey Attac	Erosion Other t Other Unstable	Other		4
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock ☐ Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p	ush ☐ Debris ☐ ☐ Soil ☐ Culver ☐ Survey Attace ☐ Operation sh ☐ Leakage	Erosion Other t Other Unstable			4 4
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In p. ☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru: ☐ Headcutting feet	sh □ Debris □ □ Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attact lace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable thed al □ Deteriorated	□ None		4 4 N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In p. ☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru: ☐ Headcutting feet	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attact lace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con ll □ Minor Erosic	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable ched al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.)	□ None		1 4 4 4 N/A 4-
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In pi ☐ Clear ☑ Trees/bru ☐ Headcutting feet i ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D	ush ☐ Debris ☐ ☐ Soil ☐ Culver ☐ Survey Attace ☐ Operation sh ☐ Leakage from spillway con al ☐ Minor Erosic etail" box below)	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable ched al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.)	□ None		4 4 N/A 4- 4
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail:	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In pi ☐ Clear ☑ Trees/bru ☐ Headcutting feet i ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D	ush ☐ Debris ☐ ☐ Soil ☐ Culver ☐ Survey Attace ☐ Operation sh ☐ Leakage from spillway con al ☐ Minor Erosic etail" box below)	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable ched al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □	□ None		4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail: Seepage/Leakage	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p ☐ Clear ☑ Trees/bru: ☐ Headcutting feet: ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D Area of scour three sla	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attact lace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con ll □ Minor Erosic etail" box below) abs from bottom, a	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable thed al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □ about 12 inches long 1 inc	□ None Underc	e utting ☑ No Issue	4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail: Seepage/Leakage Serious Conditions	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p ☑ Clear ☑ Trees/bru ☐ Headcutting feet: ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D Area of scour three sla ☑ None ☐ New Seep	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con al □ Minor Erosic retail" box below) abs from bottom, a	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable thed al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □ about 12 inches long 1 inc	□ None Underc	e utting ☑ No Issue	4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail: Seepage/Leakage Serious Conditions Seepage Locations	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p ☑ Clear ☑ Trees/bru ☐ Headcutting feet: ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D Area of scour three sla ☑ None ☐ New Seep ☐ Center ☐ Left ☐]	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con al □ Minor Erosic retail" box below) abs from bottom, a	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable thed al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □ about 12 inches long 1 included □ Piping □ Discolored Pipe	□ None Underc	e utting ☑ No Issue	4 4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A Rating N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail: Seepage/Leakage Serious Conditions Seepage Locations Flow	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p. ☐ Clear ☑ Trees/bru: ☐ Headcutting feet : ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D Area of scour three sla ☐ None ☐ New Seep ☐ Center ☐ Left ☐ ☐ ☐ Wet Vegetation ☐	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attact lace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con al □ Minor Erosic tetail" box below) abs from bottom, a page □ Leakage Right □ Around	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable ched al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □ about 12 inches long 1 included □ Piping □ Discolored Pipe ing Water □ Flowing Water	□ None Underc	e utting ☑ No Issue	4 4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A Rating N/A
Structure Approach Channel Control Section Spillway dimensions Flashboards/Gate Discharge Channel Stilling basin Aux. Spillway Detail: Seepage/Leakage Serious Conditions Seepage Locations	☐ Clear ☐ Trees/bru ☐ Concrete ☐ Rock Width: ft. Depth: ft. ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ In p ☑ Clear ☑ Trees/bru ☐ Headcutting feet: ☐ None ☑ Functiona ☐ Yes ☑ No (use "D Area of scour three sla ☑ None ☐ New Seep ☐ Center ☐ Left ☐]	Soil □ Culver □ Survey Attact lace □ Operation sh □ Leakage from spillway con al □ Minor Erosic tetail" box below) abs from bottom, a page □ Leakage Right □ Around	Erosion □ Other t □ Other □ Unstable ched al □ Deteriorated trol section, depth: feet.) on □ Severe Erosion □ about 12 inches long 1 included □ Piping □ Discolored Pipe ing Water □ Flowing Water	□ None Underc	e utting ☑ No Issue	1 4 4 4 N/A 4- 4 N/A Rating N/A

Conduit			Rating					
Control	☑ Manual □ Power □ None							
Inlet	☑ Submerged ☐ Debris on trash rack ☐ Deterioration							
Control/Stem	☐ Missing ☑ Operable ☐ Damaged ☐ Inoperable ☐ Unknown							
Valve(s) Cycling	☐ Frozen ☐ Unknown ☑ Past Year ☐ Frequent ☐ During Inspection							
Principal Conduit	Diameter/Size: 18in. Material: Steel	Condition: <u>Fair</u>	4					
Primary Outlet	□ Overgrown □ Clean □ Buried/Obstructed □ Pressurized □ Leaking: gpm							
Other Outlet(s)	☐ Yes ☑ No							
Detail:	18 and 42 in conduits							
Structure of Dam	☑ Earth ☐ Rock ☐ Concrete ☐ Other		Rating					
Detail:								
Deformation	☑ None ☐ Cracks ☐ Landslide(s) ☐ Sinkhole(s) ☐ M	lovement	4					
Crest	☑ No Issues ☐ Settlement/Low Spots ☐ Narrow ☐ Wave		4					
Erosion	☑ None ☐ Trampling ☐ Surface Erosion		4					
Aux. Dam (s)	☐ Yes ☑ No Number:		N/A					
Detail:	27							
Animals			Rating					
Evidence	☑ No Evidence ☐ Trails ☐ Burrows ☐ Deep Burrows	Max Depth:_ft.	4					
Locations		Extensive: ☐ Yes ☑ No						
Detail:								
Vegetation			Rating					
Cover	☐ None ☑ Low Grass ☑ High Grass ☐ Brush ☐ Small	Γrees ☐ Large Trees	4					
Locations		Impairs Inspection □ Yes ☑ No						
Detail:	*							
Monitoring	1		Rating					
Instrumentation	□ None ☑ Weir □ Piezometer □ Camera ☑ Reservoir level □ Other							
Monitoring	□ None ☑ Continuous □ Frequent □ Past year □ Unknown		5					
Emergency Action Pla Maintenance action Maintenance action Corrective action -	a - Subsequent Inspection with Deficiency Unsafe Condition							
Other Issues or Additional Detail Needed:								